

REMARKS

The last Office Action has been carefully considered.

It is noted that the claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 for formal reasons.

In connection with the Examiner's rejection of the claims, claim 1, the broadest claim on file, has been somewhat amended. It is believed that the new features of the present invention as defined in the claims clearly and patentably define the present invention.

The Amendment to claim 1 provides an additional emphasis that with the corresponding geometrical parameters of the distances, the tension is produced and thereby the wiper strip with the carrying rails is held in the connector.

The Examiner's arguments are not completely understood since from the language of claim 1 and illustration shown in Figures 1-4 a person of ordinary skill in the art can obtain a clear understanding of the present invention and its new features. Figures 1 and 2 show the assembled wiper blade, in which the wiper strip defined in claim 1 is clearly illustrated. Both separate carrying rails are located in receiving

grooves of the wiper strip and are engaged by a connector or its L-shaped claws.

Figure 3 illustrates the mounting process, in accordance with which the carrying rails are introduced along the arrows 70 into the grooves of the wiper strip. In Figure 4 a person of ordinary skill would clearly recognize that by simple pushing of the carrying rails in the direction of the arrow 76 the system composed of the wiper strip and the carrying rails can be introduced into the connector. The state shown in Figure 2 is produced after the automatic insertion and release of the rails.

It is therefore believed that with the structural features of the device in combination with the geometrical parameters with respect to, the distances and thereby the generated tension the wiper blade of the present invention is adequately described.

In view of the above presented remarks and amendments, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection of the original claims under 35 U.S.C. 102 should be considered as no longer tenable and should be withdrawn.

Reconsideration and allowance of the present application is most respectfully requested.

Should the Examiner require or consider it advisable that the specification, claims and/or drawings be further amended or corrected in formal aspects in order to place this case in condition for final allowance, then it is respectfully requested that such amendments or corrections be carried out by Examiner's Amendment, and the case be passed to issue. Alternatively, should the Examiner feel that a personal discussion might be helpful in advancing this case to allowance, he is invited to telephone the undersigned at (631-549-4700).

Respectfully submitted,

*M.J. Striker*  
Michael J. Striker  
Attorney for Applicants  
Reg. No. 27233

*J. L. Morody*  
J. L. Morody  
R.D. 28563